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## Factorial Designs

## QMET201



## Factorial Experiments

Analysis of variance for a factorial experiment allows investigation into the effect of two or more variables on the mean value of a response variable. Various combinations of factor 'levels' can be examined. It is normal to replicate a factorial experiment at least 2 times.

The analysis of variance for a factorial experiment is very similar to the previous ones. The sums of squares for the treatments are now replaced by sums of squares for the two factors, (called the main effect sums of squares) and the interaction sums of squares.


## ANOVA TABLE

| SOURCE | df | SS | MS | F | P |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blocks |  |  |  |  |  |
| Factor A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Factor B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interaction A $\times$ B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Error |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.n=a b r \quad \text { where } \quad \begin{array}{l}
a=\text { number of levels of Factor } \mathrm{A}, \\
b \\
b
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { number of levels of Factor } \mathrm{B}, \\
r
\end{array}\right) \text { number of replications of the factorial experiment. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## ANOVA CALCULATIONS FOR A FACTORIAL EXPERIMENT

## Calculate the degrees of freedom:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d f_{A}=(a-1) ; d f_{B}=(b-1) ; d f_{A \times B}=(a-1)(b-1) ; \\
& d f_{\text {total }}=(a b r-1) ; d f_{\text {error }}=d f_{\text {total }}-\left[d f_{A}+d f_{B}+d f_{A \times B}\right]=a b(r-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

| Correction Factor: | $C F=\frac{\left(\sum \text { all entries }\right)^{2}}{\text { number of entries }}=\frac{\left(\sum y\right)^{2}}{n}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Sum of Squares: | $S S_{\text {total }}=\Sigma(\text { each entry })^{2}-C F=\Sigma y^{2}-\frac{(\Sigma y)^{2}}{n}$ |  |
| Main Effect Sum of squares: for Factor A for Factor B ... | $\begin{aligned} & S S_{A}=\frac{A_{1}^{2}+A_{2}^{2}+\ldots+A_{a}^{2}}{b r}-C F \\ & S S_{B}=\frac{B_{1}^{2}+B_{2}^{2}+\ldots+B_{b}^{2}}{a r}-C F \end{aligned}$ | Note: $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ etc are totals for level 1, level 2 etc of Factor A. Also, the divisor for $S S_{A}$ is $b \times r$ - the number of values included to make up each total, and for $S S_{B}$ is $a \times r$. (Include for Factor $C$ etc if necessary.) |
| Interaction Sum of squares: | $\begin{aligned} & S S_{A B}= \\ & \frac{A B_{11}^{2}+A B_{12}^{2}+\ldots+A B_{i j}^{2}}{r}-S S_{A}-S S_{B}-C F \end{aligned}$ | That is, square each cell total; add these totals; divide by the number of repeats (replications); then subtract $S S_{A}, S S_{B}$, and the correction factor. |
| Sum of Squares for Error: | $S S_{\text {Error }}=S S_{\text {Total }}-S S_{A}-S S_{B}-S S_{A B}$ |  |
| Mean Squares: | $\begin{aligned} & M S_{A}=\frac{S S_{A}}{d f_{A}}, \quad M S_{B}=\frac{S S_{B}}{d f_{B}}, \\ & M S_{A B}=\frac{S S_{A B}}{d f_{A \times B}}, M S_{\text {error }}=\frac{S S_{\text {eror }}}{d f_{\text {error }}} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Test statistic F: | For testing main effect $\mathrm{A}: \frac{M S_{A}}{M S_{\text {error }}}$ <br> For testing main effect $\mathrm{B}: \frac{M S_{B}}{M S_{\text {error }}}$ <br> For testing AB interaction: $\frac{M S_{A B}}{M S_{\text {error }}}$ |  |

If other factors are involved, these must be included, as well as the interactions.
For example, if there was a Factor $\mathrm{C}, S S_{C}, S S_{A B}, S S_{A C}, S S_{B C}$, and $S S_{A B C}$ would be required as well as the respective mean squares and $F$.

Note In the calculation of $L S D=t \times \sqrt{\frac{2 \times M S E}{r}}$, use $d f_{\text {error }}$ for $t$, and recalculate $r$ for the specified LSD.

## Worked examples

1. The concentration of the amino acid, alanine, was determined for four individuals of both sexes of three species of insects.

|  | Species A | Species B | Species C |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | 21.5 | 14.5 | 16.0 |
|  | 19.6 | 17.4 | 20.3 |
|  | 20.9 | 15.0 | 18.5 |
|  | 22.8 | 17.8 | 19.3 |
| Female | 14.8 | 12.1 | 14.4 |
|  | 15.6 | 11.4 | 14.7 |
|  | 13.5 | 12.7 | 13.8 |
|  | 16.4 | 14.5 | 12.0 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r=4 \\
& a=3 \text { (species) } \\
& b=2(\text { sexes }) \\
& n=4 \times 2 \times 3=24
\end{aligned}
$$

The sums of the Sex by Species data are given as:

|  | spp |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | 1 | 2 | 3 | All |
| 1 | 84.8 | 64.7 | 74.1 | 223.6 |
| 2 | 60.3 | 50.7 | 54.9 | 165.9 |
| All | 145.1 | 115.4 | 129.0 | 389.5 |

## Complete an ANOVA table:

Factor $A=\operatorname{spp}$ (3 levels) Factor $B=$ sex (2 levels); four replications

| Source | DF | SS | MS | F | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| spp |  |  |  |  |  |
| sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| spp*sex $^{*}$ srror |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

- Fill in the degrees of freedom:

$$
d f_{\text {total }}=3 \times 2 \times 4-1=23 ; d f_{\text {spp }}=2 ; d f_{\text {sex }}=1 ; d f_{\text {spp } \times s e x}=2 \Rightarrow d f_{\text {error }}=23-5=18
$$

- Calculate Total Sum of squares:

$$
S S_{\text {total }}=21.5^{2}+14.5^{2}+\ldots . .14 .5^{2}+12.0^{2}-\frac{389.5^{2}}{24}
$$

$$
=238.89
$$

(Suggest all values entered in calculator, then $S S T=\Sigma x^{2}-\frac{(\Sigma x)^{2}}{n}$ )

- Calculate spp, sex and spp $\times$ sex sums of squares:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S S_{s p p}=\frac{\left(145.1^{2}+115.4^{2}+129.0^{2}\right)}{8}-\frac{389.5^{2}}{24}=55.261 \\
& S S_{s e x}=\frac{\left(223.6^{2}+165.9^{2}\right)}{12}-\frac{389.5^{2}}{24}=138.72
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{(s p p x s e x)} & =\frac{\left(84.8^{2}+64.7^{2}+74.1^{2}+60.3^{2}+50.7^{2}+54.9^{2}\right)}{4}-S S_{s p p}-S S_{s e x}-\frac{389.5^{2}}{24} \\
& =6.89
\end{aligned}
$$

- Calculate Error Sum of Squares

$$
S S_{\text {error }}=S S T-(\text { all the rest })=238.89-(55.261+138.72+6.89)=38.019
$$

- Now fill in the ANOVA table:

| Source | DF | SS | MS | F | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| spp | 2 | 55.261 | 27.6305 | 13.08 | $<0.001$ |
| sex | 1 | 138.720 | 138.720 | 65.68 | 0.000 |
| spp*sex $_{\text {Error }}$ | 2 | 6.89 | 3.445 | 1.63 | n.s. |
| Total | 18 | 38.018 | 2.112 |  |  |

- Calculate a $1 \%$ LSD to test between Species means The $t$ value for two-tailed $p=0.01$ and 18 df is 2.8784. So

$$
L S D=2.8784 \times \sqrt{2.112 \times \frac{2}{8}}=2.092
$$

- Species 1 is found in boggy areas, whereas Species 2 \& 3 are found in rather drier areas. Calculate the contrast sum of squares to test between Species 1 against the mean of Species 2 and 3.

This is just like the example worked through in class. First think of the mean of Spp 1 vs the mean of Spp2 and Spp3. The coefficients would be 1 and $-1 / 2$ and $-1 / 2$. Multiply these by 2 to get 2 and -1, -1 . Use these values in the following:

$$
\text { ContrastSS }=\frac{(2 \times 145.1-1 \times 115.4-1 \times 129.0)^{2}}{\left(2^{2}+(-1)^{2}+(-1)^{2}\right) \times 8}=\frac{2097.64}{48}=43.701
$$

Pictorially, the experimental area might look like this:
Block 1

| Nitrogen level 1 | Nitrogen level 1 | Nitrogen level 1 <br> Moisture level 1 <br> Moisture level 2 <br> Moisture level 3 | Nitrogen level 1 <br> Moisture level 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen level 2 | Nitrogen level 2 | Nitrogen level 2 | Nitrogen level 2 |
| Moisture level 1 | Moisture level 2 | Moisture level 3 | Moisture level 4 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen level 3 | Nitrogen level 3 | Nitrogen level 3 |  |
| Moisture level 1 | Moisture level 2 | Moisture level 3 | Moisture level 3 4 |
|  |  |  |  |

Block 2

| Nitrogen level 1 <br> Moisture level 1 | Nitrogen level 1 <br> Moisture level 2 | Nitrogen level 1 <br> Moisture level 3 | Nitrogen level 1 <br> Moisture level 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nitrogen level 2    <br> Moisture level 1 Nitrogen level 2 Moisture level 2 Moisture level 3 <br>  Nitrogen level 2   <br> Nitrogen level 3 Nitrogen level 3 Nitrogen level 3  <br> Moisture level 1 Moisture level 2 Moisture level 3 Nitrogen level 3 <br> Moisture level 4    |  |  |  |

2. Smith et al (1997, J. Appl. Ecol.) looked at the conservation value of grass pastures. Six blocks of grassland were marked out. Each block was divided into four plots and each plot randomly selected to receive one of the four treatment combinations from the following schematic table:

|  | Pasture Management |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | Conventional | Alternative |
| No fertilizer | 14.52 | 34.74 |
| Fertilizer | 12.78 | 23.34 |

The error sum of squares was 6.0750.
i) Set out an analysis of variance table showing the degrees of freedom for the main treatment effects and the interaction, blocks, error and total.
ii) Calculate the sums of squares for main treatment effects and interaction.
iii) Calculate the mean squares for main treatment effects, interaction and error.
iv) Complete the analysis of variance table showing the F-ratios for treatment mean squares.
v) Put in the appropriate $p$-values from the F tables.
vi) Calculate the SED for the "Pasture management" means.

## Solution:

First step is to complete the totals for the table:

| Pasture Management |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Conventional | Alternative | Total |
| Fertilser | 14.52 | 34.74 | 49.26 |
| No Fertiliser | 12.78 | 23.34 | 36.12 |
|  | 27.3 | 58.08 | 85.38 |

$\mathrm{A}=$ pasture managements $\Rightarrow a=2, \quad \mathrm{~B}=$ number of fertilizers $\Rightarrow b=2$,
Number of replications: $r=6 \Rightarrow n=2 \times 2 \times 6=24$
Now complete the necessary calculations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d f_{A}=1 ; d f_{B}=1 ; d f_{A \times B}=1 \times 1=1 ; d f_{\text {total }}=(2 \times 2 \times 6-1)=23 ; d f_{\text {error }}=23-(1+1+1)=20 \\
& \quad C F=\frac{\left(\sum \text { all entries }\right)^{2}}{\text { number of entries }}=\frac{\left(\sum y\right)^{2}}{n}=\frac{85.38^{2}}{24}=303.73925 \\
& \text { Management } S S=S S_{A}=\frac{A_{1}^{2}+A_{2}^{2}+\ldots+A_{a}^{2}}{b r}-\frac{\left(\sum y\right)^{2}}{n}=\frac{27.3^{2}+58.08^{2}}{12}-C F=39.4753
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Fertiliser } S S=S S_{B}=\frac{B_{1}^{2}+B_{2}^{2}+\ldots+B_{b}^{2}}{a r}-\frac{\left(\sum y\right)^{2}}{n}=\frac{49.26^{2}+36.12^{2}}{12}-C F=7.19415
$$

$$
\text { Interaction } S S=S S_{A B}=\frac{A B_{11}^{2}+A B_{12}^{2}+\ldots+A B_{i j}^{2}}{r}-S S_{A}-S S_{B}-\frac{\left(\sum y\right)^{2}}{n}
$$

$$
=\frac{14.52^{2}+34.74^{2}+12.78^{2}+23.34^{2}}{6}-C F-\text { Manage } S S-\text { Fert } S S
$$

$$
=3.88815
$$

$$
S S_{\text {error }}=6.0750(\text { given })
$$

ANOVA table can now be completed:

| Source | df | SS | MS | F | p |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Block | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Management | 1 | 39.47535 | 39.47535 | 97.47 | $<0.001$ |
| Fertiliser | 1 | 7.19415 | 7.19415 | 17.763 | $<0.001$ |
| Manage*Fertiliser | 1 | 3.88815 | 3.88815 | 9.600 | $<0.01$ |
| Error | 15 | 6.0750 | 0.405 |  |  |
| Total | 23 |  |  |  |  |

(v) From tables, $\mathrm{F}_{.05(1,15)}=4.54, \mathrm{~F}_{.01(1,15)}=18.68, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{F}} .01(1,15)=16.59$
(vi) SED Management means $=\sqrt{\frac{E M S \times 2}{r}}=\sqrt{\frac{0.405 \times 2}{12}}=0.2598$
3. The application of iron has sometimes been used to neutralise the toxic effect of metals like manganese ( Mn ), molybdenum ( Mo ) and vanadium $(\mathrm{V})$ on legume crops. The following analysis of variance table is from an experiment measuring soybean growth. The experiment was a RCBD with five replicated blocks, and the treatments were a 3 by 4 factorial with iron (Fe) at three levels (2.5, 5.0, 20.0 ppm ) and metal being "none", Mn, Mo, V. The measurement was log dry weight.

Analysis of Variance for log_g

| Source | DF | SS | MS | F | P |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Block | 4 | 0.00936 | 0.00234 | 0.45 | 0.773 |
| Fe | $\star$ | $*$ | $*$ | 148.88 | 0.000 |
| Metal | 3 | 3.1181 | 1.03727 | 198.47 | 0.000 |
| Fe ${ }^{\star}$ Metal | 6 | 0.74394 | 0.12399 | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Error | 44 | 0.22996 | 0.00523 |  |  |
| Total | 59 | 5.65122 |  |  |  |

Here are the totals for blocks:

| Block | Total |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 5.343 |
| 2 | 5.787 |
| 3 | 5.584 |
| 4 | 5.682 |
| 5 | 5.525 |
| All | 27.921 |

Here are the totals for the treatment combinations.
Rows: Fe
Columns: Metal

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | ALL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 3.897 | 2.440 | 3.572 | 3.834 | 13.743 |
| 2 | 4.285 | 0.423 | 1.359 | 1.918 | 7.985 |
| 3 | 4.177 | 0.116 | 0.733 | 1.167 | 6.193 |
| All | 12.359 | 2.979 | 5.664 | 6.919 | 27.921 |

(i) Calculate the Sums of squares for Fe
(ii) Give the degrees of freedom for Fe
(iii) Calculate the mean square for Fe .
(iv) Determine the p value for the Fe *Metal interaction term.
(v) Calculate the 5\%LSD to test for the difference between the 'Metal' means.
(vi) Explain how you would calculate the interaction sum of squares. (You are not required to calculate it, although you can if you want to.)

Solution (i) Enter Fe totals in your calculator. $S S_{F e}=\Sigma x^{2}-\frac{(\Sigma x)^{2}}{n}=1.55614$
(ii) There are 3 levels of $\mathrm{Fe}, \Rightarrow$ there are 2 degrees of freedom.
(iii) Complete $M S_{F e}=\frac{1.55614}{2} 0.778075$
(iv) Finteraction $=\frac{M S_{\text {Feremeal }}}{M S_{\text {cror }}}=\frac{0.12399}{0.00523}=23.707$
(v) Interaction degrees of freedom are 6,44 respectively. (For tables, use 40 df for the denominator df.) Table values are:
p F
$0.05 \quad 2.34$
$0.01 \quad 3.29$
0.0014 .73
$\mathrm{F}_{\text {calc }}>\mathrm{F}_{\text {tables }}$.
That is, there is enough evidence to reject the null hypothesis.
4. Write out the ANOVA tables for EACH of the following three experiments. In each case give:
a) the source of variance column
b) the degrees of freedom column
c) the $5 \% \mathrm{~F}$ value from the F table for each of the treatment and interaction lines.
i) Experiment $\boldsymbol{A} \quad$ Six grass species, each replicated four times in a RCBD.
ii) Experiment B An RCBD with three blocks. The treatments are 3 soybean varieties, 4 potassium levels and 2 sulphur levels in a full factorial.
iii) Experiment C An experiment on corn. Six blocks were divided into two plots each and one randomly selected plot in each block allocated a high irrigation treatment; the other plot was not irrigated. Each plot was subdivided into 4 subplots and nitrogen at four levels applied.

## Solution:

Experiment A $\quad k=6 ; r=4 ; n=k \times r=24$;

| Source | df | $5 \% \mathrm{~F}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Treatment (Grass) | $5(k-1)$ | $2.90\left(\right.$ with $\left.v_{1}, v_{2}=5,15\right)$ |
| Block | $3(r-1)$ |  |
| Error | 15 |  |

Experiment B $\quad a=3 ; b=4 ; c=2 \quad r=3 ; n=a \times b \times c \times r=3 \times 4 \times 2 \times 3=72$;

| Source | df | $5 \% \mathrm{~F}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Block | 2 |  |
| Soybean | 2 | 3.23 |
| Potassium | 3 | 2.84 |
| Sulphur | 1 | 4.08 |
| Soybean* Potassium | 6 | 2.34 |
| Sulphur *Potassium | 3 | 2.84 |
| Sulphur* Soybean | 2 | 3.23 |
| Soybean* Sulphur *Potassium | 6 | 2.34 |
| Error | 46 |  |
| Total | 71 |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{lcl}\begin{array}{l}\text { Experiment } C \\ \text { Source }\end{array} & r=6, a=2, b=4, & n=6 \times 4 \times 2=48 \\ \mathrm{df}\end{array}\right)$

## Advantages of two-way ANOVA

In one-way ANOVA, populations are classified according to one categorical variable, or factor. In the two-way ANOVA model, there are two factors, each with its own number of levels. When we are interested in the effects of two factors, a two-way design offers great advantages over several single factor studies:

- Valuable resources can be spent more efficiently, by studying two factors simultaneously rather than separately.
- The residual variation in the model can be reduced, by including a second factor thought to influence the response.
- We can investigate interactions between factors.

These considerations also apply to study designs with more than two factors. The choice of sampling or experimental design is fundamental to any statistical study. Factors and levels must be carefully selected by an individual or team who understands both the statistical models and the issues that the study will address.

